

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,241	08/26/2003	Joachim Thiel	241978US	1765	
22850	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER		
_	MCCLELLAND IVAK, MCCLELLAND,	MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA			
1940 DUKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1764		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/647,241	THIEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Virginia Manoharan	1764	

		Virginia Manoharan	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	 ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2006</u> FAILS T			•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection this application, applicant must timely fi places the application in condition for a a Request for Continued Examination (time periods:	n, but prior to or on ile one of the follow llowance; (2) a No	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months f	rom the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the incomplete no event, however, will the statutory per Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, che TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	riod for reply expire la eck either box (a) or (CTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR have been filed is the date for purposes of determinater 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receive may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. SONTICE OF APPEAL	ning the period of ex opiration date of the sed by the Office later	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any I AMENDMENTS	37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed afte	r a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matte (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the 	l require further co er (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	, app	tion form for appear by materially re	oddonig or omipmying	110 100000 101
(d) They present additional claims wi	thout canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>				
4. The amendments are not in complianc			ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the fol				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s). 	would be al	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed a how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) Claim(s) allowed: none.	be rejected is pro-	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>none</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after because applicant failed to provide a structure was not earlier presented. See 37 CFF	howing of good and R 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after entered because the affidavit or other entered showing a good and sufficient reasons 	evidence failed to o why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is enter REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHE 		n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has be		it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclos 13. Other:	ure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments would provoke new 112 rejections. For examples:

a). The claimed "feeding the streams so that at least some of the sieve trays are operated .." is nowhere in the specification. Compare e.g., with the selecting the streams recited at page 7, lines 15-16 of the instant specification. Page 4, lines 9-11, alluded to by applicants as providing support, does not positively mention the now claimed feeding step. b). The claimed "the product gas mixture" in claim 11, line 3, lacks proper antecedent support.

HGINIA MANOHARAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1831 764